Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

oject Name: Quartz C oject Sponsor: BLM, onsors Phone # 541-6 onsor's E-mail: jeanne	18-2220	3. County: Josephine 5.Date:_7/24/01
oject Location (attach p	roject area map)	
	3.T 1.TTT.C 11/10.1	(res over 1)
b. 5th Field Watershed	Name and HUC #(if	known): Jumpoff Joe
b. 5th Field Watershedc. Legal Location:Township35S _	Name and HUC #(if Range _7W	known): Jumpoff Joe
b. 5th Field Watershedc. Legal Location:Township35S _	Name and HUC #(if Range _7W Range 6W	known): Jumpoff Joe Section(s) _1, 3, 10, 11, 12 Section(s) 19
b. 5th Field Watershed c. Legal Location: Township35S _ Township _34S	Name and HUC #(if Range _7W Range 6W Range 7W	known): Jumpoff Joe Section(s) _1, 3, 10, 11, 12 Section(s) 19
b. 5 th Field Watershed c. Legal Location: Township35S _ Township _34S Township 34S	Range _7W Range 6W Range 7W Range 7W	known): Jumpoff Joe Section(s) _1, 3, 10, 11, 12 Section(s) 19 Section(s) 13, 14, 15, 23, 25, 27, 33 35
b. 5th Field Watershed c. Legal Location: Township35S _ Township _34S Township 34S	Range _7W Range 6W Range 7W Range Range Range	known): Jumpoff Joe Section(s) _1, 3, 10, 11, 12 Section(s) 19 Section(s) 13, 14, 15, 23, 25, 27, 33 35 Section(s)
b. 5 th Field Watershed c. Legal Location: Township35S _ Township _34S Township 34S Township	Name and HUC #(if Range _7W Range 6W Range 7W Range Range Range Range	Section(s) 13, 14, 15, 23, 25, 27, 33 35 Section(s) Section(s)

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

Project objectives include preparing a management plan for designing, developing, re-routing (where needed), and improving trail systems in the Quartz Creek OHV area. Currently, many trails exist, mainly from users developing trails. The goal is to identify the location of the current trails, identify potential or existing resource damage, safety issues, or user conflicts and develop trail systems which reduce conflicts, resource damage and potential safety hazards.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

This 7120 acre parcel of BLM land is located north of Merlin, Oregon. It is comprised of O&C and Public Domain BLM lands. The ownership pattern is checkerboard, with every other section as BLM. Private lands are interspersed with this OHV area, and many trails extend from BLM land onto private land.

This area is identified in the 1995 Medford District Resource Management Plan (RMP) as a designated OHV area. OHV use is limited to designated roads and trails. However, trails have not been designated by BLM as official trails. Therefore, resource damage, user conflicts and safety issues are occurring within the area. Also, OHV use throughout the resource area is becoming more and more of an issue. The BLM would like to provide an area that is managed for responsible OHV use, and provide an opportunity for this use. This project is also recommended in the Jumpoff Joe Watershed Analysis, completed in June 1998.

June 07, 2001

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

	Χ	Yes	☐ No	If yes, then describe.		
system betwee	s, as	the c	wnership is	<u>*</u>		area when designating certain trail ion BLM land and the lands in
	12. 		-	roposed project meet purintenance of existing infrastru	•	s of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)] Sec. 2(b)]
	X X	-		vardship objectives that enhance proves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]	forest ed	cosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
	X	Rest	tores water q	uality. [Sec. 2(b)]		
2(b)(2)(<i>l</i>	X	Roa Ro Ot So Wat	d Maintenand and Decomminate Infrastructure il Productivitates Resto	check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)] ce [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] ission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] cture Maintenance (specify): ty Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] oration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	x x x x	Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] [Sec. Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec.
	×	Re	establish Nat	toration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] tive Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	_	Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)
	a. 'c.] e.]	Total No. S No. c	Acres: _71 Structures: 0 of Laborer D	_	iles: unc le Reaci	confirmed mileage of trail systems hed (for environmental education projects): _5,000
2002_	15.	Dui	ration of Pr	roject and Estimated Compl	etion Da	ate [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: One year, September,
	16	Тог	act Specie	s Benefited (if applicable):		

The BLM has been working with various user groups including the Caveman Four Wheelers, Siskiyou Trail Riders in identifying trails and coming up with a strategy for managing the area.

[Sec. 2(b)(3)]

June 07, 2001 2

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Meetings with these groups date back to 1991, when the idea was being formulated for a designated area to ride. In 1995, that designation occurred with the RMP. In 1996, meetings began again with user groups to come up with a strategy to manage the area. Meetings have included on site visits and rides along the roads/trails in the area as well as planning meetings indoors to discuss issues. By working together with local residents, volunteers and agencies will leverage each organization's money and aid in creating positive working relationships as well as a sense of ownership and pride in managing the area.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

One of the reasons for managing an area is to provide for safe recreation. Currently, trails exist throughout the area with no safety regulations, other than fire season regulations. This plan will provide for safer, designated trails which will also reduce user conflicts and resource damage. Signing designating trails and the types of vehicles appropriate would be placed on site. Directional signing will be placed on site to avoid collisions and getting lost.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

The project benefits federal lands/ resources by reducing impacts to the area. Currently trails are located throughout the area, including crossing riparian and other sensitive areas without mitigating the impacts through bridges or reroutes. With a designated trail system, impacts would be limited and routed to less sensitive sites. User conflicts would also be reduced.

20. Status of Project Planning				
a. NEPA Complete: Yes X No	b.	If No, g	give est. dat	te of completion:
NEPA is part of the funding request. If funded, comple	ted in F	all, 200	2	_
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	X	Yes	☐ No	Not Applicable
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	Х	Yes	☐ No	☐ Not Applicable
e. Survey & Manage Complete:		」 Yes	X No	□ Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:		Yes	☐ No	X Not Applicable
g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:		Yes	☐ No	X Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:		Yes	☐ No	X Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:		Ę	Yes	X No
State Historic Preservation Officer				
21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment				
X Contract	Fede	ral Wor	kforce	
☐ County Workforce	. Volu	nteers		
Other (specify):				
22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Mate	rials? (S	Sec. 204((e)(3))	

June 07, 2001 3

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

a.	Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$50,000	
b.	Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes X No	If yes, then display by fiscal year
	c. FY02 Request: \$50,000 for management plan	
		f. FY05 Request: \$
	d. FY03 Request:	•
	•	g. FY06 Request: \$
	e. FY04 Request:	

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$4280 (1 work month)			
28. Contract Preparation	\$4280 (1 work month)			
29. Contract Administration	\$4280 (1 work month)			
30. Contract Cost		\$45,000 for either contract or work months to hire term to complete plan		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring		\$5000		
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$12,840	\$50,000		\$

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

June 07, 2001

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39.	Monitoring	Plan (Sec.203(b)	(6))

a.	What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project
	meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this
	monitoring item?

Photo points will be established along closed trails and new trails, once the plan is complete and trails built. The BLM or users could be responsible for the monitoring.

b.	How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes
	towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs
	programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for
	this monitoring item?

This project has the potential to be a YCC project. We (BLM) have worked with the YCC before through the Job Council and will continue to work with them on projects such as this

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Surveys can be done to see if users are more satisfied with the interpretation, trail rehab efforts and fuels reduction. This could be done by members of the community, volunteer forces.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: ___\$5000_____

June 07, 2001 5